



# Judicial Performance Review Results

District 5C									
<b>5</b> - Excellent (performance is outstanding) <b>4</b> - Good (performance is above average) <b>3</b> - Satisfactory (performance is adequate) <b>2</b> - Deficient (performance is below average) <b>1</b> - Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)	Heather L. Lauber	David N. May	Romonda D. Belcher	Gregory D. Brandt	Carol L. Coppola	Carol S. Egly	Becky S. Goettsch	William A. Price	Racheal Seymour
Knowledge and application of the law	4.64	4.44	4.05	4.29	4.45	4.07	4.35	4.03	3.91
Perception of factual issues	4.64	4.48	4.15	4.16	4.48	4.07	4.31	3.93	3.70
Punctuality for court proceedings	4.75	4.66	4.31	4.44	4.52	4.10	4.49	4.28	3.14
Attentiveness to evidence and arguments	4.72	4.61	4.35	4.30	4.51	4.21	4.42	3.89	3.72
Management and control of the courtroom	4.70	4.60	4.39	4.23	4.53	4.09	4.45	3.93	3.59
Temperament and demeanor	4.79	4.6	4.48	3.32	4.56	4.24	4.42	3.34	2.84
Clarity and quality of written opinions	4.74	4.50	4.06	4.11	4.49	4.09	4.38	3.98	3.82
Promptness of rulings and decisions	4.68	4.56	4.23	4.26	4.49	4.19	4.45	4.11	2.93
<b>5</b> - Strongly Agree <b>4</b> - Agree <b>3</b> - Neither <b>2</b> - Disagree <b>1</b> - Strongly Disagree									
Avoids undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges and lawyers from bench or in written opinions.	4.75	4.69	4.50	3.50	4.54	4.39	4.49	3.50	3.00
Decides cases on basis of applicable law and fact, not affected by outside influence.	4.68	4.56	4.39	3.96	4.41	4.31	4.42	3.80	3.54
Is courteous and patient with litigants, lawyers and court personnel.	4.72	4.70	4.62	3.30	4.57	4.389	4.45	3.45	2.83
Treats people equally regardless of race, gender, age, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, socio-economic status or disability.	4.74	4.72	4.58	3.89	4.56	4.52	4.53	3.80	3.75
Retention percentage	98	97	91	82	96	92	97	72	68
Number of respondents	175	187	181	175	173	178	169	179	165